

Partial smoothing effect and energy-dissipation for an Allen-Cahn equation with non-decreasing constraint

Goro Akagi¹ (Tohoku University)

This talk is based on a joint work with Messoud Efendiev (München).

1 Introduction

This talk is concerned with an Allen-Cahn equation with non-decreasing constraint,

$$(irAC) \quad u_t = \left(\Delta u - W'(u) \right)_+ \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

where $W'(u) = u^3 - \kappa u$ (with $\kappa > 0$) is the derivative of a double-well potential $W(u)$ simply given by

$$W(u) := \frac{1}{4}u^4 - \frac{\kappa}{2}u^2$$

and where $(\cdot)_+$ stands for the positive-part function and Ω is a smooth bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N . Equation (irAC) is a constrained (more precisely, a *strongly irreversible*) variant of the celebrated Allen-Cahn equation,

$$(AC) \quad u_t = \Delta u - W'(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

which has been well studied and is known for a phase-separation model. Moreover, (irAC) also appears in a special setting of a phase field model of crack-propagation, where $u(x, t)$ stands for the phase parameter describing the degree of damage and therefore it is supposed to be non-decreasing. Furthermore, (AC) and (irAC) share a common Lyapunov energy functional,

$$\mathcal{F}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} W(u(x)) dx$$

for $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^4(\Omega)$. Namely, $t \mapsto \mathcal{F}(u(t))$ is non-increasing along the evolution of each solution $u(t)$ to (AC) and (irAC). Here we remark that (AC) can be formulated as an L^2 -gradient flow of \mathcal{F} , that is,

$$u_t(t) = -\mathcal{F}'(u(t)) \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega), \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

On the other hand, (irAC) is rewritten as a *constrained* gradient flow,

$$u_t(t) = \left(-\mathcal{F}'(u(t)) \right)_+ \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega), \quad 0 < t < \infty,$$

which is not in a divergence form and often classified as a fully nonlinear PDE.

Due to the presence of the positive-part function in (irAC), $u(x, t)$ is non-decreasing in time for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. In particular, if $u_0 \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω , then $u(x, t) \geq u_0(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and $t > 0$, which also implies

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \geq \|u_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \quad \text{for all } t > 0 \text{ and } p \in [1, \infty].$$

Hence there exists no absorbing set in any L^p spaces, and therefore, no global attractor exists in $L^p(\Omega)$. This fact exhibits a clear contrast to the classical Allen-Cahn equation (AC), which always admits a global attractor in $L^p(\Omega)$. Moreover, it can be also regarded as a conclusion of a lack of full energy-dissipation for (irAC). On the other hand, $u(x, t)$ never evolves at $x \in \Omega$ where $\Delta u(x, t) - W'(u(x, t))$ is negative. Therefore, one cannot expect smoothing-effect for (irAC) in a usual sense. The main purpose of this talk is to exhibit how one can find out *partial* energy-dissipation and smoothing effect for (irAC).

¹akagi@m.tohoku.ac.jp

2 Main results

Let us consider the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem (P) for (irAC),

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \left(\Delta u - W'(u) \right)_+ \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0. \end{aligned}$$

Here and henceforth, we are concerned with L^2 solutions of (irAC), that is,

Definition (Solution to (irAC))

For $T > 0$, a function $u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ is called a *solution of (irAC)* on $[0, T]$, if the following conditions are all satisfied:

- (i) $u \in W^{1,2}(\delta, T; L^2(\Omega))$, $C([\delta, T]; H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^4(\Omega)) \cap L^2(\delta, T; H^2(\Omega)) \cap L^6(\delta, T; L^6(\Omega))$ for any $0 < \delta < T < \infty$,
- (ii) it holds that

$$u_t = \left(\Delta u - W'(u) \right)_+ \quad \text{for a.e. } (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty). \quad (1)$$

Our main results read,

Theorem 1 (Existence and partial smoothing effect [1])

We set

$$D_r := \left\{ u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^6(\Omega) : \|(\Delta u - W'(u))_-\|_2^2 \leq r \right\}$$

for each $r > 0$. Then

- (i) Let u_0 belong to the closure $\overline{D_r}^{L^2}$ of D_r in $L^2(\Omega)$. Then (P) admits a solution $u = u(x, t)$ on $[0, T]$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^4(0, T; L^4(\Omega)), \\ u(t) &\in D_r \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T] \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 < T < \infty$.

- (ii) If u_0 belongs to the closure $\overline{D_r}^{H_0^1 \cap L^4}$ of D_r in $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^4(\Omega)$, then it further holds that

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in W^{1,2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)) \cap L^6(0, T; L^6(\Omega)), \\ u &\in C([0, T]; H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^4(\Omega)) \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 < T < \infty$.

- (iii) If $u_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^6(\Omega)$, then $u \in C_w([0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap L^6(\Omega))$ and $u_t \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ for any $0 < T < \infty$.

Theorem 2 (Partial energy-dissipation [1])

Fix $r > 0$ and denote by $u(t) = u(\cdot, t)$ the solution to (P) constructed in Theorem 1. Then there exist constants C_r and C such that the following (i)–(iii) hold true:

(i) For any $u_0 \in \overline{D_r}^{-H_0^1 \cap L^4}$, it holds that

$$\phi(t) \leq C_r + e^{-2\kappa t} \phi(0), \quad \|\Delta u(t) - W'(u(t))\|_2^2 \leq C_r + \frac{C(\phi(0) + 1)}{t},$$

where $\phi(t) := (1/2)\|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 + (1/4)\|u(t)\|_4^4$, for all $t \geq 0$.

(ii) Fix $u_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^6(\Omega)$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\tau_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|\Delta u(t) - W'(u(t))\|_2^2 \leq \|(\Delta u_0 - W'(u_0))_-\|_2^2 + \varepsilon$$

for all $t \geq \tau_\varepsilon$.

Theorem 3 (Reformulation of (irAC) as an obstacle problem [1])

For any $u_0 \in \overline{D_r}^{L^2}$, (P) admits a solution $u = u(x, t)$ which also solves

$$(OP) \quad \begin{cases} u \geq u_0, & u_t \geq \Delta u - W'(u) \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ (u - u_0)(u_t - \Delta u + W'(u)) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, & u|_{t=0} = u_0. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, such a solution to (P) as well as (OP) is uniquely determined by $u_0 \in \overline{D_r}^{L^2}$.

Equation (irAC) is classified as a fully nonlinear parabolic equation, which is formulated in a general form $u_t = F(D^2u)$ with a nonlinear function F and the Hessian matrix D^2u . Here we shall reformulate the equation as a generalized gradient flow (of subdifferential type), which is fitter to distributional frameworks and energy techniques. By applying the (multivalued) inverse mapping $\alpha(\cdot)$ of $(\cdot)_+$ to both sides, (irAC) is reduced to

$$(irAC)_{DN} \quad \alpha(u_t) \ni \Delta u - W'(u) \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty).$$

The inverse mapping α of $(\cdot)_+$ can be decomposed as follows:

$$\alpha(s) = s + \partial I_{[0, \infty)}(s), \quad \partial I_{[0, \infty)}(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s > 0 \\ (-\infty, 0] & \text{if } s = 0 \\ \emptyset & \text{if } s < 0 \end{cases} \text{ for } s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2)$$

where $\partial I_{[0, \infty)}$ stands for the subdifferential of the indicator function $I_{[0, \infty)}$ over the half-line $[0, +\infty)$. The Cauchy-Dirichlet problem (P) for (irAC) is equivalently given as

$$u_t + \eta - \Delta u + W'(u) = 0, \quad \eta \in \partial I_{[0, \infty)}(u_t) \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (3)$$

$$u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (4)$$

$$u = u_0 \text{ in } \Omega. \quad (5)$$

Furthermore, comparing (3) with (irAC), one can immediately find the relation,

$$\eta = -\left(\Delta u - W'(u)\right)_-, \quad (6)$$

where $(\cdot)_-$ stands for the negative part function, i.e., $(s)_- := \max\{-s, 0\} \geq 0$.

References

- [1] Akagi, G. and Efendiev, M., Allen-Cahn equation with strong irreversibility, preprint.